# THE TABLET: PALLIATIVE CARE PHARMACY TIPS



February 24, 2023 Vol. 3, No. 3

Palliative Care Pharmacy Team:

**Guest Author:** 

Jing Zheng, 4<sup>th</sup> Year Student Pharmacist at University of Pittsburgh School of Pharmacy

Clinical Pharmacy Specialist:

Maria Felton Lowry,

PharmD, BCPS, BCGP (she, her, hers) Assistant Professor University of Pittsburgh School of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmacy and Therapeutics Palliative Care Clinical Pharmacy Specialist

UPMC Palliative and Supportive Institute Cell:

412-627-8473
Office: 412-864-2899
Email: lowrymf@upmc.edu

If you have a topic you would like the pharmacy team to answer, please send your suggestions to: lowrymf@upmc.edu

# **TODAY'S TOPIC:**

Requested Topic: IV Acetaminophen for Acute Pain: How does it compare to other analgesics?

# **Background:**

Acetaminophen (APAP) is one of the most used analgesics as it is cheap, available over the counter and in various formulations: oral, rectal, and intravenous (IV). Acetaminophen has been studied to have favorable efficacy and safety profiles and has a very low potential for severe drug interactions. Thus, it is widely used for pain management in patients with cancer, patients undergoing procedures, and patients in palliative care.

# Importance:

Pain management is an essential part of palliative care to achieve a better quality of life in patients with terminal illnesses. IV acetaminophen is widely used for pain control for various reasons due to its well-established safety and efficacy profiles. However, it is unclear whether IV acetaminophen offers advantages over oral acetaminophen or other analgesics in managing acute pain.

# The Literature:

**Question #1**: Does IV acetaminophen perform better than PO acetaminophen in the setting of acute pain?

Can J Hosp Pharm. 2015 May-Jun;68(3):238-47.

Intravenous versus Oral Acetaminophen for Pain: Systematic Review of Current Evidence to Support Clinical Decision-Making.

- > No strong evidence suggesting superiority of IV APAP administration over oral routes
- > Pettersson et al. (2005): significantly lower use of rescue opioids postoperatively in the IV group (17.4  $\pm$  7.9 mg vs. 22.1  $\pm$  8.6 mg; p < 0.05) than with the oral APAP

# J Arthroplasty. 2017 Apr;32(4):1125-1127.

Randomized Prospective Trial Comparing the Use of Intravenous versus Oral Acetaminophen in Total Joint Arthroplasty

- > Methods: prospective, randomized trial
  - Patients undergoing hip and knee arthroplasty randomized to receive either intravenous or oral acetaminophen
- > Results: n = 120 patients (63 receiving IV and 57 receiving PO)
  - $\circ$  24-hour average VAS scores in IV group were 3.00 and 3.40 in PO group (p = 0.06)
  - Only the first interval VAS scores (0-4 hour post-operatively) were significantly different and favored the IV group (p = 0.03)
  - o 24-hour average hydromorphone equivalents given were not different between groups (3.71 vs 3.48) at 24 hours (p = 0.76)

# Br J Anaesth. 2005 May;94(5):642-8.

Onset of acetaminophen analgesia: comparison of oral and intravenous routes after third molar surgery

Methods: double blind, randomized

- > Methods: double blind, randomized
  - Patients aged 18–50 years post third molar removal were given APAP as either
     2-min IV bolus injection, 15-min IV infusion, oral or placebo
- > Results: n = 175 patients (50 in each active treatment group and 25 in placebo group)
  - IV APAP has shorter onset (3 min for bolus administration, 5 min for 15-min infusion) than oral APAP (11 min)
  - Active treatments (all acetaminophen) significantly better for pain relief, pain intensity, duration of analgesia than placebo
     Adverse events occurred more frequent after IV APAP, especially pain at the
  - Adverse events occurred more frequent after IV APAP, especially pain at the injection site (52-90%)

# Question #2: Are there any other comparison studies of IV acetaminophen and other analgesics?

Ann Emerg Med. 2022 Nov;80(5):432-439.

A randomized study of intravenous hydromorphone versus intravenous acetaminophen for older adult patients with acute severe pain.

- > Methods: double blind, parallel group, randomized trial
  - Patients aged 65 years or more with acute pain in the EDs were given either 1000mg IV acetaminophen or 0.5mg IV hydromorphone
- > <u>Outcomes:</u>
  - Primary outcome: improvement in a 0 to 10 pain scale from baseline to 60 minutes later
    - Secondary outcomes: need for additional analgesic medication and adverse events
- > Results: n = 162 patients
  - o Primary outcome:
    - Minimum clinically important difference: 1.3
       Baseline median pain score: 10
    - Baseline median pain score: 10
       By 60 minutes: difference was re-
    - By 60 minutes: difference was not clinically significant
       APAP improved by 3.6 (+/- 2.9)
    - Hydromorphone improved by 4.6 (+/- 3.3)
       Secondary outcomes: need for additional analgesic and adverse events
      - Additional analgesic needed in
        - 37 APAP patients
        - 31 hydromorphone patients
      - Adverse events (dizziness, drowsiness, headache, nausea)
         6 APAP natients
        - 6 APAP patients
        - 10 hydromorphone patients

# THE TABLET: PALLIATIVE CARE PHARMACY TIPS



February 24, 2023 Vol. 3, No. 3

# Br J Aneasth. 2006 Jun;96(6):790-5.

Effects of intraoperative i.v. acetaminophen vs i.m. meperidine on post-tonsillectomy pain in children

- > Methods: double blind, randomized study
  - o Patients aged 3-16 year were given either IV APAP or IM meperidine
- > Results: n = 80 patients (40 patients in each group)
  - IV APAP provided adequate analgesia, less sedation and earlier readiness for recovery room discharge than IM meperidine
    - Shorter median (IQR) time to readiness for PACU discharge in APAP group than meperidine group [15 (0-20) min vs. 25 (15-30) min]
    - Ramsay sedation scores were 3 (SEM 0.2) and 4 (SEM 0.3) for the acetaminophen and meperidine groups

# Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2004 Jun;158(6):521-6.

# Efficacy and Safety of Acetaminophen vs Ibuprofen for Treating Children's Pain or Fever

- > Meta-analysis of 17 blinded, randomized controlled trials with children (<18 years) receiving either acetaminophen or ibuprofen to treat fever or moderate to severe pain
- > Similar efficacy and safety in relieving moderate to severe pain between ibuprofen (4-10 mg/kg) and APAP (7-15 mg/kg)
  - Point-estimate of the weighted mean was 1.14 (95% confidence interval [CI], 0.82-1.58) after 2 hours, and 1.11 (95% CI, 0.89-1.38) after 4 hours, slightly favoring ibuprofen
  - o 95% CIs include values favoring APAP

### **Bottom Line:**

- IV acetaminophen has not been well-studied in the palliative care population, it is unclear if these studies are totally generalizable to our population
- IV acetaminophen provides faster onset of analgesia than PO formulation with questionable clinical significance (3-5 mins versus 11 mins) although degree of analgesia did not seem to differ between IV and PO formulations
- IV acetaminophen comes with possibility of injection site reactions, need for IV access, and can contribute to fluid volume especially in end-of-life setting
- Recent RCT in ED showed no significant difference in pain reduction between one time dose of IV acetaminophen and IV hydromorphone (10mg OME)

	IV Acetaminophen versus PO Acetaminophen	IV Acetaminophen versus other analgesics
Safety	IV: more administration site reactions	Opioids: more sedation
Tolerability	IV: nausea, dizziness, malaise PO: well-tolerated	Opioids: more dizziness, drowsiness, headache, nausea
Efficacy	IV: 3-5 min for analgesia onset PO: 11 min for analgesia onset Similar degree of analgesia	No clinically significant difference in pain scores after 1 time doses
Price	IV: \$0.09-0.45 PO: \$0.05	Oral acetaminophen remains the cheapest
Simplicity	IV requires IV-line access	All available in IV and PO formulations Hydromorphone available as PCA Meperidine given more frequently (Q3-4H)